## JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) 1 United States Attorney 2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) 2010 Chief, Criminal Division 3 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722) 4 CLERK, U.S Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5079 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 7 Email: Eumi.Choi@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for the United States of America FEB 2 2 2010 9 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 09-01119 JW 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND PROPOSEDI 14 ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS HEARING AND TO EXCLUDE TIME 15 FROM FEBRUARY 11, 2010 THROUGH NORMAN BUETOW, APRIL 5, 2010 FROM THE SPEEDY 16 TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A)(B)17 Defendant. 18 19 On February 11, 2010, the parties appeared for an initial appearance and arraignment 20 before the Court in the above-cited case. At that time, upon the recommendation of the 21 government, the Court set the matter for a status hearing on April 5, 2010, to allow defense 22 counsel a reasonable period of time to receive and review the ample discovery in this case. The 23 parties stipulated to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow counsel for 24 defendant reasonable time for effective preparation. 25 The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that said time 26 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served

Case 5:09-cr-01119-EJD Document 18 Filed 02/22/10 Page 1 of 3

27

28

## Case 5:09-cr-01119-EJD Document 18 Filed 02/22/10 Page 2 of 3

by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy · 1 trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO DATED: February 17, 2010 United States Attorney Assistant United States Attorney PAUL B. MELTZER, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Norman Buetow 

## **ORDER**

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between February 11, 2010 through April 5, 2010 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/22/10

HOWARD. R. LIVOYD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE